

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,094	12/11/2003	Charles Starnes	5000.002	1587
20633 7500 640000008 ROGERS TOWERS, P.A. 1301 RIVERPLACE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500 JACKSONVILLE, FL 32207			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/735.094 STARNES, CHARLES Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Kevin T. Truona 3734 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.5-11.13-15 and 17-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 5-11, 13-15, and 17-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/735,094

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Appeal Brief

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last
Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,094 Art Unit: 3734

 Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-15, and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita (US 5385571) in view of Stanners (US 5403288).

Morita discloses a lancet body (12) having a needle tip (16) projecting from the distal end of said body (12); a generally cylindrical cap (14) connected to said needle tip (16) by a frangible junction (in other words, cap (14) is integrally molded with lancet body (1)); wherein said cap (14) having at least one tab member projecting therefrom, an opening (22 and 38 as shown in fig. 9) sized for engagement with the lancet distal end (at 20) and an interior axially projecting hub (at 42) for receiving the needle tip (16) (see fig. 12); the opening (22 and 38 as shown in fig. 9) can be facing in distal direction away from the lancet body or being coaxially aligned with the shaft. However, Morita lacks an interior projecting post disposed within the opening of the cap, whereby the needle piercing tip is embedded with the interior axially projecting post in the pre-use configuration.

Stanners teaches in figures 26 and 27, that it is known in the art to have interior projecting post (141) disposed within the opening of the cap(140), whereby the needle piercing tip (100) is embedded with the interior axially projecting post (141) in the pre-use configuration.

For this reason, it would have an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Morita's cap having an interior projecting post disposed within the opening of the cap, whereby the needle piercing tip is embedded with the interior axially projecting post as taught by Stanners in order to maintain a clean needle end prior to use.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,094

Art Unit: 3734

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 05/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM..

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin T. Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3734 Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3734 Application/Control Number: 10/735,094

Page 5

Art Unit: 3734